arXiv:1603.04324v2 [math.RT] 22 Mar 2016

PREPROJECTIVE ALGEBRA STRUCTURE ON SKEW-GROUP ALGEBRAS

LOUIS-PHILIPPE THIBAULT

Abstract. We investigate properties of finite subgroups $G < SL(n,k)$ for which the skew-group algebra $R\#G$ does not have a grading structure of preprojective algebra. Namely, we prove that if a finite subgroup $G < SL(n,k)$ is conjugate to a finite subgroup of $SL(n_1,k) \times SL(n_2,k)$, then the skew-group algebra $R\#G$ is not Morita equivalent to an (higher) preprojective algebra. This is related to the fact that the tensor product of two Koszul preprojective algebras does not admit a preprojective algebra grading. Along the way, we give a quiver construction for the preprojective algebra over a Koszul n-representation-infinite algebra, and show that it has a superpotential and that it is a derivation-quotient algebra.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
Notation 3
Acknowledgement 3
2. Preliminaries 3
2.1. Higher Preprojective algebras 3
2.2. Koszul algebras 4
2.3. Superpotentials 5
3. Quiver construction of Preprojective algebras 6
4. Tensor Product of Preprojective algebras 11
4.1. Superpotential of a tensor product 13
4.2. Preprojective structure on the tensor product of Preprojective algebras 14
5. Preprojective algebra structure on the skew-group algebra 17
5.1. Tensor product of skew-group algebras 18
5.2. A converse to a theorem of Amiot-Iyama-Reiten 20
References 27

1. Introduction

Preprojective algebras were first defined by Gel′fand and Ponomarev in [GP79] to study the representation theory of finite-dimensional hereditary algebras. Baer, Geigle and Lenzing ([BGL87]) later proposed an equivalent definition for the preprojective algebra as a tensor algebra over Λ of the inverse Auslander-Reiten translation:

$$\Pi(\Lambda) := T_\Lambda \mathrm{Ext}^1_\Lambda(D\Lambda, \Lambda).$$

In the setting of Iyama's program of higher-dimensional Auslander-Reiten theory, this construction was generalized to algebras of higher global dimension. They are characterized by the following homological property: the (higher) preprojective algebras over $(n-1)$-representation-infinite algebras are exactly the bimodule n-Calabi-Yau algebras of Gorenstein parameter 1 ([Kel11], [MM11], [HIO14], [AIR15]).

When $G < SL(2,k)$ is a finite group, Reiten and Van den Bergh ([RVdB89]) proved that the skew-group algebra $k[x,y]\#G$ is Morita equivalent to the preprojective algebra over an extended Coxeter-Dynkin quiver associated to G via the McKay correspondence, creating yet another bridge between representation theory and the study of quotient singularities. Now if $G < SL(n,k)$ and R is the polynomial ring in n variables, we are interested in determining when is $R\#G$ Morita equivalent to an higher preprojective algebra. In [AIR15], the authors showed that if G is cyclic and satisfies an extra condition, then $R\#G$ is isomorphic to an n-preprojective algebra. In this paper, we prove a partial converse to this statement and generalize it to finite subgroups of $SL(n,k)$.

The study of this question naturally leads to the analysis of the preprojective structure of the tensor product of two preprojective algebras. In [HIO14], the authors showed that the tensor product of two higher representation-infinite algebras is again higher representation-infinite. This raises the question whether the same is true for the tensor product of preprojective algebras. In this paper, we show that such algebras, with an additional Koszulity assumption, cannot be endowed with a grading as required for a preprojective algebra.

Both our results rely on the fact that if we find a grading on these algebras such that they are bimodule n-Calabi-Yau of Gorenstein parameter 1, then we force the degree 0 part to be infinite-dimensional.

The objects of interest in this paper are Koszul preprojective algebras. We generalize the quiver construction of classical preprojective algebras to higher Koszul preprojective algebras. Moreover, we show that the preprojective algebra over a Koszul n-representation-infinite algebra has a superpotential and is given by a derivation-quotient algebra, described in [BSW10], generalizing a theorem by Keller ([Kel11]) which states that 3-preprojective algebras are Jacobian algebras given by a potential.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In section 2, we recall background material on higher preprojective algebras. We also define Koszul algebras and recall the notion of a superpotential, described in [BSW10], that plays an important role in the grading structure of the algebras we consider. In section 3, we give an explicit construction of the quiver of a basic preprojective algebra, generalizing the quiver construction in the classical case. We then prove that the preprojective algebra $\Pi(\Lambda)$ over a n-representation-infinite Koszul algebra Λ is a derivation-quotient algebra with quadratic relations.

In section 4, we first describe the superpotential of a tensor product of two Koszul bimodule Calabi-Yau algebras. We then use it to show that the tensor product of two Koszul preprojective algebras cannot have a structure of preprojective algebra. In section 5, we apply these results to skew-group algebras, generalizing a partial converse to a theorem in [AIR15]. We show that if a finite subgroup $G < SL(n,k)$ is conjugate to a finite subgroup of $SL(n_1,k) \times SL(n_2,k)$ for some $n_1, n_2 \geq 1$ such that $n_1 + n_2 = n$, then $R\#G$ is not Morita equivalent to a preprojective algebra.

Notation. We let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. Every algebra is a connected k-algebra, that is, it cannot be written as a product of two algebras. We denote by $D := \mathrm{Hom}_k(-,k)$ the k-dual. If A is a k-algebra, we denote by $A^e := A \otimes_k A^{op}$ the enveloping algebra.

Acknowledgement

The results described in this article were obtained while the author was a Ph.D. student at the University of Toronto. The author wishes to thank his supervisor, Professor Ragnar-Olaf Buchweitz, for the numerous insights, suggestions, and the constant support throughout his Ph.D. The author also thanks Professor Osamu Iyama for discussing some of the results during his stay in Toronto. Finally, the author thanks his colleague Vincent Gélinas for helpful comments on the first drafts of this paper.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Higher Preprojective algebras.** Let Λ be a finite-dimensional algebra of finite global dimension. Let $\mathbb{S} := D\Lambda \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes}_\Lambda -$ be the Serre functor in the bounded derived category of finitely-generated left Λ-modules $\mathcal{D}^b(\Lambda)$ and denote by $\mathbb{S}_n$ the composition $\mathbb{S}_n := \mathbb{S} \circ [-n]$. The inverse of the Serre functor is given by $\mathbb{S}^{-1} = \mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(D\Lambda, -)$.

Definition 1 ([HIO14])**.** Let Λ be a finite-dimensional algebra of global dimension n. We say that Λ is *n-representation-infinite* if

$$\mathbb{S}_n^{-l}(\Lambda) \in \mathrm{mod}\ \Lambda$$

for any $l \geq 0$.

By definition, $\mathbb{S}_n^{-l}(\Lambda)$ is a complex, so the condition means that it has only cohomology in degree 0. This cohomology is then necessarily equal to

$$\mathrm{Ext}^n_\Lambda(D\Lambda, \Lambda)^{\otimes_\Lambda l}.$$

Definition 2 (See, e.g., [HIO14])**.** Let Λ be an n-representation-infinite algebra. The $(n+1)$-*preprojective algebra* of Λ is defined as the tensor algebra

$$\Pi(\Lambda) = T_\Lambda \mathrm{Ext}^n_\Lambda(D\Lambda, \Lambda) \cong \bigoplus_{l \geq 0} \mathbb{S}_n^{-l}(\Lambda).$$

These notions generalize the concepts of representation-infinite algebras and of preprojective algebras for algebras of higher global dimension.

Definition 3. Let B be a positively graded k-algebra. We say that B is *(bimodule) n-Calabi-Yau of Gorenstein parameter a* if $B \in \operatorname{per} B^e$, the thick subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(\operatorname{Mod} B^e)$ generated by B^e, and there exists a bounded graded projective B-bimodule resolution $P_\bullet$ of B and an isomorphism

$$P_\bullet \cong P_\bullet^\vee[n](-a),$$

where $(-)^\vee = \operatorname{Hom}_{B^e}(-, B^e)$.

We call an algebra *(bimodule) n-Calabi-Yau* if it satisfies the previous homological property, forgetting the grading.

Theorem 1 ([Kel11], [MM11], [HIO14], [AIR15])**.** *There is a one-to-one correspondence between isomorphism classes of n-representation-infinite algebras Λ and isomorphism classes of bimodule $(n+1)$-Calabi-Yau algebras B of Gorenstein parameter 1 such that B_0 is finite-dimensional, given by*

$$\Lambda \mapsto \Pi(\Lambda)$$
$$B_0 \leftarrow\!\shortmid B$$

In this paper, we reserve the term *representation-infinite algebra* to finite-dimensional algebras and the term *preprojective algebra* for (higher) preprojective algebras over finite-dimensional algebras. When we use the concept of *bimodule Calabi-Yau of Gorenstein parameter* 1, we do not necessarily require the degree 0 part to be finite-dimensional.

2.2. **Koszul algebras.** We describe Koszul algebras, following mostly [BGS96]. Throughout this subsection, we let A be a positively graded k-algebra such that $S := A_0$ is a finite-dimensional semisimple algebra. All tensor products are over S.

Definition 4. The algebra A is *Koszul* if S, considered as a left graded A-module, admits a graded projective resolution

$$\cdots \to P^2 \to P^1 \to P^0 \to S \to 0$$

such that P^l is generated in degree l.

It is well-known that any Koszul algebra is quadratic, that is, it is given by a tensor algebra

$$T_S V/\langle M \rangle,$$

where V is a S-bimodule placed in degree 1 and M is a S-bimodule such that $M \subset V \otimes V$.

Definition 5. The *Koszul complex* is described as

$$\cdots \to P^n \to P^{n-1} \to \cdots \to P^0 \to 0,$$

where each P^l is given by

$$A \otimes \bigcap_\mu (V^{\otimes \mu} \otimes M \otimes V^{\otimes l-\mu-2}) \subset A \otimes V^{\otimes l}$$

and the differentials are the restrictions of the maps

$$A \otimes V^{\otimes l} \to A \otimes V^{\otimes (l-1)},$$

given by

$$a \otimes v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_l \mapsto a v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_l.$$

We denote $\bigcap_\mu (V^{\otimes \mu} \otimes M \otimes V^{\otimes l-\mu-2})$ by K_l.

Theorem 2 (see, e.g., [BGS96]). *Let $A = T_S V\langle M\rangle$ be a quadratic algebra. Then A is Koszul if and only if the Koszul complex is a projective left A-module resolution of S.*

In this case, the Koszul resolution is a subresolution of the *Bar resolution* $\beta(A,S)$ of S:

$$\beta(A,S) := \cdots \to A \otimes A_+^{\otimes n} \to A \otimes A_+^{\otimes (n-1)} \to \cdots \to A,$$

with differentials given by

$$1 \otimes (a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) \mapsto a_1 \otimes (a_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n)$$
$$+ \sum_{1 \le l \le n-1} (-1)^l 1 \otimes (a_1 \otimes \cdots a_{l-1} \otimes a_l a_{l+1} \otimes a_{l+2} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n).$$

We note that

$$K_l \cong \mathrm{Tor}_l^A(S,S).$$

The Koszul resolution also gives rise to a projective A-bimodule resolution of A:

$$\cdots \to P^n \to P^{n-1} \to \cdots \to P^0 \to A \to 0,$$

where each P^l is given by

$$A \otimes \bigcap_\mu (V^{\otimes \mu} \otimes M \otimes V^{\otimes l-\mu-2}) \otimes A \subset A \otimes V^{\otimes l} \otimes A$$

and the differentials are the restrictions of the maps

$$A \otimes V^{\otimes l} \otimes A \to A \otimes V^{\otimes (l-1)} \otimes A,$$

given by

$$a \otimes v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_l \otimes a' \mapsto a v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_l \otimes a' + (-1)^l a \otimes v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{l-1} \otimes v_l a'.$$

Remark 1. In this paper, we deal in general with two gradings. The first one is the natural grading coming from the tensor algebra structure

$$A = T_S V/\langle M\rangle.$$

We consider Koszul algebras with respect to this grading. If A is Calabi-Yau of Gorenstein parameter 1, then we have a second grading on A giving this property. In particular, when A is a preprojective algebra, this grading is given by the natural grading structure on the tensor algebra

$$A = T_\Lambda \mathrm{Ext}^n_\Lambda(D\Lambda, \Lambda).$$

2.3. **Superpotentials.** Let $A = T_S V/\langle M\rangle$ be a k-algebra, where S is a semisimple finite-dimensional k-algebra, and V and M are S-bimodule. We describe the notion of a superpotential, following [BSW10]. In this section, all tensor products are over S.

Let $\mathfrak{S}_l$ be the symmetric group. Let an element $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_l$ act on

$$v_l \otimes v_{l-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_1 \in V^{\otimes l}$$

by permuting the indices, that is,

$$\sigma(v_l \otimes v_{l-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_1) := v_{\sigma^{-1}(l)} \otimes v_{\sigma^{-1}(l-1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\sigma^{-1}(1)},$$

and extend this action linearly.

Definition 6 ([BSW10])**.** A *superpotential* of degree n is an element ω of degree n in $T_S V$ that commutes with the S-action:

$$s\omega = \omega s \quad \forall s \in S,$$

and have the property that $\sigma(\omega) = \omega$, where $\sigma = (1\ 2\ \cdots\ n-1\ n)$ in $\mathfrak{S}_n$. We say that ω is *super-cyclically symmetric*.

Definition 7 ([BSW10])**.** The *derivation-quotient* algebra of ω of order l is defined as

$$D(\omega, l) := T_S V/\langle W_{n-l}\rangle,$$

where W_{n-l} is generated by elements of the form $\delta_p\omega$, where $p = v_l \otimes \cdots \otimes v_1$ is an element of order l in $T_S V$ and $\delta_p : V^{\otimes n} \to V^{\otimes n-l}$ is a linear map defined as

$$\delta_p v := \begin{cases} r & \text{if } v = p \otimes r \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Consider the complex $W_\bullet :=$

$$0 \to A \otimes W_n \otimes A \xrightarrow{d} A \otimes W_{n-1} \otimes A \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \xrightarrow{d} A \otimes W_1 \otimes A \xrightarrow{d} A \otimes W_0 \otimes A \to 0,$$

where the differential $d : A \otimes W_l \otimes A \to A \otimes W_{l-1} \otimes A$ is given by

$$d(a \otimes v_l \otimes \cdots \otimes v_1 \otimes a')$$

$$= \epsilon_l(av_l \otimes v_{l-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_1 \otimes a' + (-1)^l a \otimes v_l \otimes \cdots \otimes v_2 \otimes v_1 a'),$$

where

$$\epsilon_l := \begin{cases} (-1)^{l(n-l)} & \text{if } l < (n+1)/2 \\ 1 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

For any superpotential, this complex is self-dual, leading to a Calabi-Yau property. Moreover, it is a subcomplex of the Koszul complex for $D(\omega, n-2)$.

Theorem 3 ([BSW10])**.** *Let $A = T_S V/\langle M\rangle$. Then A is a n-Calabi-Yau Koszul algebra if and only if $A \cong D(\omega, n-2)$, for some superpotential ω of degree n, and $W_\bullet$ is exact in positive degree with $H^0(W_\bullet) = A$. Moreover, in this case, ω is a S-bimodule generator of $K_n \cong W_n$.*

3. Quiver construction of Preprojective algebras

The goal of this section is to generalize the quiver construction of 2-preprojective algebras to higher preprojective algebras over basic Koszul n-representation-infinite algebras.

Theorem 4 ([Rin98], [CB99])**.** *Let $Q = (Q_0, Q_1)$ be a quiver with no oriented cycles and consider its path algebra kQ. For each arrow $a : i \to j \in Q_1$, define $a^* : j \to i$. Consider $\tilde{Q} = (Q_0, \tilde{Q}_1)$, where $\tilde{Q}_1$ contains all the arrows a of Q_1 as well as the new arrows a^*. Then, the preprojective algebra of kQ is given by*

$$\Pi(kQ) \cong k\tilde{Q}/(\sum_{a \in Q_1} [a, a^*]),$$

where $[a, a^*]$ is defined as $aa^* - a^*a$.

In this section, we let $\Lambda = T_S V/\langle M\rangle$ be a basic Koszul n-representation-infinite algebra, where S is a finite-dimensional semisimple k-algebra, V an S-bimodule and $M \subset V \otimes_S V$. Let Π be a $(n+1)$-preprojective algebra over Λ. Then Π is given by

$$\Pi = T_\Lambda \mathrm{Ext}^n(D\Lambda, \Lambda) \cong T_\Lambda \mathrm{Ext}^n_{\Lambda^e}(\Lambda, \Lambda^e).$$

The following is inspired by techniques employed in [CB99]. From [Hap89], we know that the Koszul bimodule resolution of Λ is given by

$$0 \to \bigoplus_{\substack{p:i\to j\\ p\in K_n}} \Lambda e_j \otimes_k e_i\Lambda \xrightarrow{f} \bigoplus_{\substack{p:i\to j\\ p\in K_{n-1}}} \Lambda e_j \otimes_k e_i\Lambda \to \cdots \to \Lambda \otimes_S \Lambda \to \Lambda \to 0,$$

where K_l is the S-bimodule defined in subsection 2.2, for $0 \le l \le n$. If $p = v_n \otimes v_{n-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_2 \otimes v_1$ is a path from i to j, and $p_1 = v_{n-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_1$ is a path from i to j' and $p_2 : v_n \otimes \cdots \otimes v_2$ is a path from i' to j, then f is defined as follows:

$$f((e_j \otimes e_i)_p) = (v_n e_{j'} \otimes e_i)_{p_1} + (-1)^n (e_j \otimes e_{i'} v_1)_{p_2}.$$

We extend this definition linearly. This is the usual differential in the Koszul resolution.

Applying $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda^e}(-, \Lambda^e)$, we obtain a complex ending as follow:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda^e}(\bigoplus_{\substack{p:i\to j\\ p\in K_{n-1}}} \Lambda e_j \otimes_k e_i\Lambda, \Lambda^e) \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda^e}(\bigoplus_{\substack{p:i\to j\\ p\in K_n}} \Lambda e_j \otimes_k e_i\Lambda, \Lambda^e) \to 0,$$

where $\tilde{f}(\phi) := \phi \circ f$. In general, if Ω is a Λ-bimodule, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda^e}(\Lambda e_j \otimes_k e_i\Lambda, \Omega) &\cong e_j \Omega e_i \\ \phi &\mapsto \phi(e_j \otimes e_i) \\ \phi_m : (be_j \otimes e_i b' \mapsto be_i m e_j b') &\leftarrow\!\shortmid e_j m e_i \end{aligned}$$

Thus, taking $\Omega = \Lambda^e$, we get that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda^e}(\bigoplus_{\substack{p:i\to j\\ p\in K_l}} \Lambda e_j \otimes_k e_i\Lambda, \Lambda^e) \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{p:i\to j\\ p\in K_l}} \Lambda e_i \otimes_k e_j\Lambda.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathrm{Ext}^n_{\Lambda^e}(\Lambda, \Lambda^e) \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{p:i\to j\\ p\in K_n}} \Lambda e_i \otimes_k e_j\Lambda/\mathrm{Im}\tilde{f}.$$

We can view the Λ-bimodule

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{p:i\to j\\ p\in K_n}} \Lambda e_i \otimes_k e_j\Lambda$$

as a space of arrows going from $j \to i$ for every path $p : i \to j$ in K_n.

We now describe $\mathrm{Im}\tilde{f}$. We have the following chain of isomorphisms:

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{p:i\to j\\ p\in K_{n-1}}} \Lambda e_i \otimes_k e_j\Lambda \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda^e}(\bigoplus_{\substack{p:i\to j\\ p\in K_{n-1}}} \Lambda e_j \otimes_k e_i\Lambda, \Lambda^e)$$

$$\to \mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda^e}(\bigoplus_{\substack{q:i\to j\\ q\in K_n}} \Lambda e_j \otimes_k e_i\Lambda, \Lambda^e) \to \bigoplus_{\substack{q:i\to j\\ q\in K_n}} \Lambda e_i \otimes_k e_j\Lambda,$$

with maps

$$\oplus_p(e_i\otimes e_j)_p \mapsto \oplus_p(\phi_p := (e_j\otimes e_i)_p \mapsto e_j\otimes e_i)$$

$$\mapsto (\oplus_p\phi_p\circ f) \mapsto (\oplus_p\phi_p\circ f)(\oplus_q(e_j\otimes e_i)_q),$$

where $(-\otimes -)_p$ denotes the p^{th} component in $\bigoplus_p \Lambda e_i\otimes_k e_j\Lambda$.

If $q: i\to j\in K_n\subset V^{\otimes_S n}$ is expressed as $q=\sum_m k_m v_n^m\otimes\cdots\otimes v_1^m$, for some $k_m\in k$, we get

$$f((e_j\otimes e_i)_q) = \sum_m k_m((v_n^m e_{j'_m}\otimes e_i)_{q'_m} + (-1)^n(e_j\otimes e_{i''_m}v_1^m)_{q''_m})$$

$$= \sum_m k_m((e_j v_n^m\otimes e_i)_{q'_m} + (-1)^n(e_j\otimes v_1^m e_i)_{q''_m})$$

$$= \sum_m k_m((e_i\otimes e_j v_n^m)_{q'_m} + (-1)^n(v_1^m e_i\otimes e_j)_{q''_m}) =: \alpha.$$

where

$$q'_m: i\to j'_m\in K_{n-1}^{n-1}, \qquad q'_m = k_m v_{n-1}^m\otimes\cdots\otimes v_1^m$$

and

$$q''_m: i''_m\to j\in K_{n-1}^{n-1}, \qquad q''_m = k_m v_n^m\otimes\cdots\otimes v_2^m.$$

Now, if $\rho_1\neq\rho_2\in K_{n-1}$, then $\phi_{\rho_1}((e_j\otimes e_i)_{\rho_2})=0$, otherwise it acts as the identity. Thus, applying ϕ_{ρ_1} to α singles out the elements in α associated to $\rho_1\in K_{n-1}$.

We conclude that $\mathrm{Im}\tilde{f}$ is given by the following elements in

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{p:i\to j\\ p\in K_n}} \Lambda e_i\otimes_k e_j\Lambda.$$

$$\mathrm{Im}\tilde{f} = \left\{ \sum_{\substack{q'_m\subset q\in K_n\\ q'_m=p}} \sum_m k_m(e_i\otimes e_j v_n^m)_{q'_m} \right.$$

$$\left. + \sum_{\substack{q''_m\subset q\in K_n\\ q''_m=p}} \sum_m k_m(-1)^n(v_1^m e_i\otimes e_j)_{q''_m} \quad | \quad p\in K_{n-1} \right\},$$

where the paths $q\in K_n^n$ are expressed as $q=\sum_m k_m v_n^m\otimes\cdots\otimes v_1^m$, for some $k_m\in K$ and $q'_m: i\to j'_m\in K_{n-1}^{n-1}$, $q'_m = k_m v_{n-1}^m\otimes\cdots\otimes v_1^m$ and $q''_m: i''_m\to j\in K_{n-1}^{n-1}$, $q''_m = k_m v_n^m\otimes\cdots\otimes v_2^m$.

Define $I := \mathrm{Im}\tilde{f}$. We proved the following theorem.

Theorem 5. *With the setting above, we have that*

$$Ext^n_{\Lambda^e}(\Lambda, \Lambda^e) \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{q:i\to j \\ q\in K_n}} \Lambda e_i \otimes_k e_j\Lambda/\langle I\rangle.$$

We can now describe explicitely the quiver of the preprojective algebra over a Koszul n-representation-infinite algebra.

Corollary 6. *Assume that* Π *and* Λ *are as above. Then the quiver of* Π *is given by adding an arrow* $a_q : j \to i$ *for each generator* $q : i \to j \in K_n$ *in the quiver of* Λ. *In addition to the relations in* Λ, Π *has new quadratic relations given by*

$$\sum_{q\in K_n} \delta^{\mathcal{L}}_p q a_q = 0$$

and

$$\sum_{q\in K_n} a_q \delta^{\mathcal{R}}_p q = 0,$$

for each $p \in K_{n-1}$, *where*

$$\delta^{\mathcal{L}}_p q := \begin{cases} a & \text{if } q = p \otimes a \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\delta^{\mathcal{R}}_p q := \begin{cases} a & \text{if } q = a \otimes p \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Example 8. Let Λ be the Koszul 2-representation-infinite algebras given by the path algebra of the following quiver and relations.

$$1 \;\overset{a}{\underset{c}{\rightrightarrows}}\; 2 \;\overset{d}{\underset{f}{\rightrightarrows}}\; 3$$

$$q_1 := db - ea = 0, \quad q_2 := fa - dc = 0, \quad q_3 := ec - fb = 0.$$

The module K_2 is generated by the relations, thus the preprojective algebra $\Pi(\Lambda)$ has three more arrows $a_{q_i} : 3 \to 1$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. The generators of K_1 are just the arrows, so the new relations are given by

$$a_{q_2} f - a_{q_1} e = 0, \quad a_{q_1} d - a_{q_3} f = 0, \quad a_{q_3} e - a_{q_2} d = 0$$

and

$$b a_{q_1} - f a_{q_3} = 0, \quad c a_{q_3} - a a_{q_1} = 0, \quad a a_{q_2} - b a_{q_3} = 0.$$

The quiver of the preprojective algebra is given by



We note that the new relations in the preprojective algebra are quadratic relations.

Theorem 7. *Let $\Lambda = T_S V/\langle M\rangle$ be a basic n-representation-infinite algebra and Π be the preprojective algebra over Λ. If Π is Koszul, then Λ is Koszul. As a partial converse, if Λ is Koszul, then $\Pi \cong D(\omega, (n+1)-2)$ for some superpotential ω.*

Proof. Suppose that Π is Koszul. Consider its Koszul resolution

$$0 \to \Pi \otimes_S \tilde{K}_{n+1} \otimes_S \Pi \to \Pi \otimes_S \tilde{K}_n \otimes_S \Pi \cdots \to \Pi \otimes_S \Pi \to \Pi \to 0.$$

Taking the preprojective degree 0 part of this resolution, we get a complex

$$0 \to \Lambda \otimes_S K_n \otimes_S \Lambda \to \cdots \to \Lambda \otimes_S \Lambda \to \Lambda \to 0,$$

where $K_l := (\tilde{K}_l)_0$. Since $\tilde{K}_{n+1}$ is generated in degree 1 (see Lemma 13), we have $K_{n+1} = 0$. This complex is exact since the maps and relations are homogeneous. This is the Koszul resolution of Λ.

Now, if Λ is Koszul, then the new relations in Π described in Corollary 6 induce the existence of a superpotential ω given as follows:

$$\omega := \sum_{q\in K_n} \sum_{0\le l\le n} (-1)^l \sigma^l(qa_q),$$

where $\sigma = (1\ 2\ \cdots\ n-1\ n+1)$ is the element of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ that acts as

$$\sigma(v_{n+1} \otimes v_n \otimes \cdots \otimes v_1) = v_1 \otimes v_{n+1} \otimes v_n \otimes \cdots \otimes v_2.$$

In fact, by definition of the arrows a_q, we get that ω commutes with the action of S. Moreover, since, by definition, every element $q \in K_n$ is in

$$\bigcap_{\mu} (V^{\mu} \otimes_S M \otimes_S V^{n-\mu-2}),$$

we obtain that ω is indeed super-cyclically symmetric. By Corollary 6, the relations in Π are given by

$$\delta_p \omega,$$

for any path $p \in \Pi$ of length $((n+1)-2)$, so the preprojective algebra is given by

$$\Pi \cong k\tilde{Q}/\langle \delta_p \omega\rangle = D(\omega, (n+1)-2),$$

where $\tilde{Q}$ is the quiver of Π described in the previous corollary.

□

Remark 2. This theorem generalizes a result in [Kel11], in which the author proves that a 3-preprojective algebra is a Jacobian algebra given by a potential.

Question 9. If Λ is a Koszul n-representation-infinite algebra, then $\Pi(\Lambda)$ is a quadratic algebra given by a superpotential. This raises the question about when is it Koszul. For this we need to show that the associated complex $W_\bullet$, described before Theorem 3, is a resolution of Π.

4. Tensor Product of Preprojective algebras

In this section, we study the preprojective grading structure of the tensor product of two preprojective algebras. When considering the usual tensor product grading on two graded k-algebras A and B, given by

$$(A \otimes_k B)_i = \bigoplus_{l+m=i} A_l \otimes_k B_m,$$

we get the following theorem.

Theorem 8. *If A_i is a n_i-Calabi-Yau algebra of Gorenstein parameter a_i, for $i = 1, 2$, then $A_1 \otimes_k A_2$, along with the usual tensor product grading, is $(n_1 + n_2)$-Calabi-Yau of Gorenstein parameter $(a_1 + a_2)$.*

Proof. The minimal bimodule resolution $P_\bullet$ of $A_1 \otimes_k A_2$ is given by tensoring over k the minimal resolutions $P^1_\bullet$ and $P^2_\bullet$ of A_1 and A_2, respectively. Using the fact that A_i is n_i-Calabi-Yau of parameter a_i, $i = 1, 2$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} P_l &= \bigoplus_{i=0}^{l} P^1_i \otimes_k P^2_{l-i} \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{l} \mathrm{Hom}_{A_1^e}(P^1_{n_1-i}, A_1^e)(-a_1) \otimes_k \mathrm{Hom}_{A_2^e}(P^2_{n_2-l+i}, A_2^e)(-a_2) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{\mu=n_1-l}^{n_1} \mathrm{Hom}_{A_1^e \otimes_k A_2^e}(P^1_\mu \otimes_k P^2_{n_2+n_1-l-\mu}, A_1^e \otimes_k A_2^e)(-a_1 - a_2), \end{aligned}$$

using the fact that the factors are projective. □

Now let Π_i be a basic bimodule n_i-Calabi-Yau algebra of Gorenstein parameter 1, for $i = 1, 2$. As shown, the tensor product of two Calabi-Yau algebras is always Calabi-Yau. The main interest of this section is to determine whether or not we can put a grading on the tensor product $\Pi_1 \otimes_k \Pi_2$ so that it is an algebra of Gorenstein parameter 1. By the previous theorem, this grading cannot be the standard tensor product grading. There is always a way to do so:

Theorem 9. *Let Π_1 and Π_2 be as above. The tensor product $\Pi_1 \otimes_k \Pi_2$ admits a grading giving it Gorenstein parameter 1.*

Proof. We put Π_1 in degree 0 and keep the grading on Π_2. Then the Gorenstein parameter of $\Pi_1 \otimes_k \Pi_2$ is the same as the Gorenstein parameter of Π_2, which is 1. □

The degree 0 part of the grading that we put on $\Pi_1 \otimes_k \Pi_2$ in Theorem 9 is of the form $A_1 \otimes_k A_2$, where $A_1 = \Pi_1$ is n_1-Calabi-Yau and A_2 is $(n_2 - 1)$-representation-infinite. Note that Calabi-Yau algebras are always infinite-dimensional. Since our main object of study are preprojective algebras, we want to put a grading in such a way that the degree 0 part is finite-dimensional, as this is a property of higher representation-infinite algebras. We show that this is impossible. More precisely, we show that the only grading giving $\Pi_1 \otimes_k \Pi_2$ Gorenstein parameter 1 is the one described in Theorem 9, with the grading induced either from the first or the second factor.

Question 10. The algebra $A_1 \otimes_k A_2$, while being infinite-dimensional, has finite global dimension and is the degree 0 part of a bimodule Calabi-Yau algebra of Gorenstein parameter 1. This raises the question whether we can extend the study of n-representation-infinite algebras to algebras of infinite dimension, and generalize the notion of preprojective algebras to bimodule Calabi-Yau algebras of Gorenstein parameter 1 such that the degree 0 part is not necessarily finite-dimensional.

Example 11. Let A be a basic Koszul n-Calabi-Yau algebra. Then, the Calabi-Yau homological property of A implies that the paths $q \in K_n$ are oriented cycles $q : i \to i$. By Corollary 6, $\Pi(A)$ has extra arrows $a_q : i \to i$. Thus, taking the preprojective algebra simply adds loops in degree 1 at every vertex, which increases the global dimension by one and the Gorenstein parameter becomes 1.

In [HIO14], the authors study the tensor product of two representation-infinite algebras. They show

Theorem 10 ([HIO14])**.** *Let Λ_i be a n_i-representation-infinite algebra, for $i = 1, 2$. Then*

- *$\Lambda_1 \otimes_k \Lambda_2$ is $(n_1 + n_2)$-representation-infinite;*
- *$\mathbb{S}(\Lambda_1 \otimes_k \Lambda_2) = \mathbb{S}(\Lambda_1) \otimes_k \mathbb{S}(\Lambda_2)$;*
- *$\mathbb{S}_{n_1+n_2}(\Lambda_2 \otimes_k \Lambda_2) = \mathbb{S}_{n_1}(\Lambda_1) \otimes_k \mathbb{S}_{n_2}(\Lambda_2)$.*

Combining these results together, we get the following.

Corollary 11. *Let Λ_i be as above and let $\Pi_i = \Pi(\Lambda_i)$, for $i = 1, 2$, be the preprojective algebra associated to Λ_i. Then the Segre product*

$$\Pi_1 * \Pi_2 := \bigoplus_{l \geq 0} ((\Pi_1)_l \otimes_k (\Pi_2)_l)$$

is a $(n_1 + n_2 + 1)$-preprojective algebra.

Proof. We have that

$$\Pi_1 * \Pi_2 = \bigoplus_{l \geq 0} ((\Pi_1)_l \otimes_k (\Pi_2)_l) = \bigoplus_{l \geq 0} \left(\mathbb{S}_{n_1}^{-l}(\Lambda_1) \otimes_k \mathbb{S}_{n_2}^{-l}(\Lambda_2) \right)$$

$$= \bigoplus_{l \geq 0} \mathbb{S}_{n_1+n_2}^{-l} (\Lambda_1 \otimes_k \Lambda_2) .$$

Since $\Lambda_1 \otimes_k \Lambda_2$ is $(n_1 + n_2)$-representation-infinite, the result follows. □

This tells us that the Segre product is the right object to consider when taking tensor products. Indeed, it only increases the global dimension of $\Lambda_1 \otimes_k \Lambda_2$ by one, whereas the tensor product increases it by two. Moreover, the degree 1 part of the Segre product lives in degree 2 in the tensor product. This is why the Segre product is of Gorenstein parameter 1, whereas the tensor product is of Gorenstein parameter 2. Thus, the tensor product seems somehow too big to be a preprojective algebra. If we force Gorenstein parameter 1 on it, then we force infinite-dimensionality of its degree 0 part.

4.1. **Superpotential of a tensor product.** Suppose that $A_i = T_{S_i}V_i/\langle M_i\rangle$ is a n_i-Calabi-Yau and Koszul algebra, where S_i is a finite-dimensional semisimple k-algebra, V_i is a S_i-bimodule and M_i are relations, for $i = 1, 2$. Then, $A := A_1 \otimes_k A_2$ is $(n_1 + n_2)$-Calabi-Yau and Koszul. It thus admits a superpotential. We would like to describe this superpotential in terms of w_1 and w_2, the superpotentials of A_1 and A_2, respectively. This is needed for the main theorem of this section.

The superpotential ω in A is a S-bimodule generator of

$$K_n := \bigcap_{\mu}(V^{\otimes\mu} \otimes_S M \otimes_S V^{\otimes n-\mu-2}) \cong \mathrm{Tor}_n^A(S, S),$$

where $S := S_1 \otimes_k S_2$, $n = n_1 + n_2$, $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$, and M is the S-bimodule of relations in A. We first recall the definition of the shuffle product.

Definition 12 (See, e.g., [Wei94])**.** The *shuffle product*

$$\sqcup\!\sqcup : \mathrm{Tor}_{n_1}^A(S_1, S_1) \times \mathrm{Tor}_{n_2}^A(S_2, S_2) \to \mathrm{Tor}_{n_1}^A(S_1, S_1) \otimes_k \mathrm{Tor}_{n_2}^A(S_2, S_2)$$

is defined as

$$v_1^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{n_1}^1 \sqcup\!\sqcup v_{n_1+1}^2 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{n_1+n_2}^2 :=$$

$$\sum_{\sigma}(-1)^{\sigma} v_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}^1 \otimes v_{\sigma^{-1}(2)}^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\sigma^{-1}(n_1)}^1 \otimes v_{\sigma^{-1}(n_1+1)}^2 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\sigma^{-1}(n_1+n_2)}^2,$$

where the sum runs over all (n_1, n_2)-shuffles σ, that is, elements σ of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{n_1+n_2}$ which satisfy $\sigma(1) < \sigma(2) < \cdots < \sigma(n_1)$ and $\sigma(n_1 + 1) < \sigma(n_1 + 2) < \cdots < \sigma(n_1 + n_2)$.

Theorem 12. *Let ω_i be a superpotential associated to A_i, $i = 1, 2$. A S-bimodule generator of $Tor_n^A(S, S)$ is given by*

$$\omega := \omega_1 \sqcup\!\sqcup \omega_2.$$

This is a superpotential in the algebra A.

Proof. It is known that the shuffle product induces a chain homotopy equivalence of bar resolutions β of the $(A_1 \otimes_k A_2)$-modules $S_1 \otimes_k S_2$, see for example ([Wei94], 8.6.13):

$$\mathrm{Tot}(\beta(A_1, S_1) \otimes_k \beta(A_2, S_2)) \to \beta(A_1 \otimes_k A_2, S_1 \otimes_k S_2).$$

We then obtain a S-bimodule isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Tor}_{n_1}^A(S_1, S_1) \otimes_k \mathrm{Tor}_{n_2}^A(S_2, S_2) \to \mathrm{Tor}_{n_1+n_2}^A(S_1 \otimes_k S_2, S_1 \otimes_k S_2).$$

Since the algebras are Koszul, we have that the superpotential ω_i of A_i is a S_i-bimodule generator of $\mathrm{Tor}_{n_i}^{A_i}(S_i, S_i)$, for $i = 1, 2$. Moreover, the superpotential ω of $A_1 \otimes_k A_2$ is a S-bimodule generator of

$$\mathrm{Tor}_{n_1+n_2}^A(S_1 \otimes_k S_2, S_1 \otimes_k S_2).$$

Thus, it is given by the image of $\omega_1 \otimes_k \omega_2$ via the shuffle map. □

4.2. **Preprojective structure on the tensor product of Preprojective algebras.** The goal of this subsection is to prove that the tensor product of two basic Koszul preprojective algebras cannot have a grading giving it a structure of preprojective algebras. We begin by proving some general statements.

We recall the description of the quiver of a basic tensor product algebra $A := A_1 \otimes_k A_2$. Following [GMV98] for a complete analysis, let Γ^1 and Γ^2 be the quivers of A_1 and A_2, respectively. Then the quiver Γ of A is described as follows:

$$\Gamma_0 = \Gamma_0^1 \times \Gamma_0^2$$

and

$$\Gamma_1 = (\Gamma_1^1 \times \Gamma_0^2) \cup (\Gamma_0^1 \times \Gamma_1^2).$$

Thus for every arrow $a^1 : e_i^1 \to e_j^1$ in Γ_1^1, there are arrows

$$(a^1, e_k^2) : (e_i^1, e_k^2) \to (e_j^1, e_k^2),$$

for every $e_k^2 \in \Gamma_0^2$. Similarly, we get the arrows of type (e_k^1, a^2). Moreover, for every path $\sum \mu_i p_i^1 \in \Gamma^1$, where $p_i^1 = a_{i_l}^1 \cdots a_{i_1}^1$, and every vertex $e_k^2 \in \Gamma_0^2$, there exists a path

$$\sum \mu_i (a_{i_l}^1, e_k^2) \cdots (a_{i_1}^1, e_k^2).$$

The paths in the second component are obtained in a similar way.

For every arrow $a^1 : e_i^1 \to e_j^1 \in \Gamma^1$ and $a^2 : e_s^2 \to e_t^2 \in \Gamma^2$, let M be the ideal generated by the relations

$$(a^1, e_t^2)(e_i^1, a^2) - (e_j^1, a^2)(a^1, e_s^2).$$

Then $A \cong k\Gamma/\langle \tilde{M}_1, \tilde{M}_2, M \rangle$, where $\tilde{M}_1$ is the set consisting of the (f_i^1, e'') for each $f_i^1 \in M_1$ and $\tilde{M}_2$ is the set consisting of the (e', f_j^2) for each $f_j^2 \in M_2$. When A is Koszul, then the Koszul grading on A is the standard one given by the tensor product.

Now let Π be a n-Calabi-Yau algebra of Gorenstein parameter 1. Let $P_\bullet$ denote the minimal bimodule resolution of Π. In the case where we require Π to be Koszul, we have that the terms in $P_\bullet$ are given by

$$P_l = \Pi \otimes_S \otimes K_l \otimes_S \Pi,$$

where $K_l \subset V^{\otimes_S l}$ is the usual term in the Koszul resolution defined in the preliminaries and the differentials are given by

$$\delta(a \otimes v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_l \otimes a') = av_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_l \otimes a' + (-1)^l a \otimes v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{l-1} \otimes v_l a'.$$

In the following lemmas we are interested in the preprojective structure of Π.

Lemma 13 ([AIR15])**.** *If Π is n-Calabi-Yau of Gorenstein parameter 1, then each term P_i in the minimal bimodule resolution of Π is generated in degree 0 or in degree 1. Moreover, P_0 is generated in degree 0 and P_n is generated in degree 1.*

Proof. Since the resolution of Π is minimal and Π is positively graded, each P_i is generated in non-negative degrees. Consider the isomorphism

$$P_\bullet \cong P_\bullet^\vee[n](-1).$$

If P_i has a generator in degree a, then P_{n-i} has a generator in degree $1-a$. Therefore, $1-a \geq 0$, which implies that $a = 0$ or $a = 1$. Thus, each P_i is generated in degree 0 or 1. But Π is generated in degree 0 as a bimodule over itself, so P_0 is generated in degree 0, and thus P_n is generated in degree 1. □

Lemma 14. *Let Π be a Koszul n-Calabi-Yau algebra of Gorenstein parameter 1. Then*

$$\deg_{P_l}(1 \otimes v_l \otimes \cdots \otimes v_1 \otimes 1) = \sum_i \deg_\Pi(v_i) = \deg_\Pi(v_l \otimes \cdots \otimes v_1),$$

where deg *denote the degree of an element with respect to the preprojective grading.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on l and use the fact that the differentials in the resolution are homogeneous with respect to the preprojective grading. For $l = 1$, we have

$$\delta(1 \otimes v_1 \otimes 1) = v_1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes v_1 \in \Pi \otimes_S \Pi,$$

so $\deg_{P_1}(1 \otimes v_1 \otimes 1) = \deg_\Pi(v_1)$. In general, we have

$$\delta(1 \otimes (v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_l) \otimes 1) = v_1 \otimes (v_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_l) \otimes 1 + (-1)^l 1 \otimes (v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{l-1}) \otimes v_l,$$

and the statement follows easily by induction. □

Now, let $\Pi_i = T_{S_i} V_i / \langle M_i \rangle$ be a basic bimodule n_i-Calabi-Yau Koszul algebra of Gorenstein parameter 1 over a semisimple algebra S_i, for $i = 1, 2$. Let

$$\Pi := \Pi_1 \otimes_k \Pi_2 \cong T_S V / \langle M \rangle,$$

be a n-Calabi-Yau Koszul algebra, where $n = n_1 + n_2$, $S := S_1 \otimes_k S_2$, $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$ and M are induced relations. Let ω_i be the superpotential of Π_i, $i = 1, 2$, and $\omega := \omega_1 \sqcup\!\sqcup \omega_2$ be the superpotential of Π, according to Theorem 12.

Theorem 15. *Let Π_1 and Π_2 be as above and let $\Pi := \Pi_1 \otimes_k \Pi_2$. If Π is bimodule n-Calabi-Yau of Gorenstein parameter 1, then the degree 0 part is of the form $A_1 \otimes_k A_2$, where A_1 is n_1-Calabi-Yau and A_2 is $(n_2 - 1)$-representation-infinite, or vice versa. In particular, Π does not admit a structure of preprojective algebra.*

Proof. Let Γ^i be the quiver of Π_i, for $i = 1, 2$ and Γ be the quiver of Π. We assume that Π is $(n_1 + n_2)$-Calabi-Yau of Gorenstein parameter 1.

By lemma 13, the S-bimodule generator $\omega \in K_{n_1+n_2}$ in $P_\bullet$, which is the superpotential of Π, is homogeneous of degree 1. Moreover, by lemma 14 the degree of the elements in K_l is the same as the degree of the associated paths in Π.

The superpotential ω of Π is of the form $\omega = \omega_1 \sqcup \omega_2$. In particular, all summands of $\omega_1 \otimes_k \omega_2$ are summands of ω. These summands have the property that they are closed paths $(e, e') \to (e, e')$ of length $(n_1 + n_2)$ that are the concatenation of two closed paths $(e, e') \to (e, e')$ of length n_1 and n_2 respectively, for some vertex (e, e') in Γ.

Consider one of these summands $(e, q)(p, e')$, where p is a closed path and a summand of ω_1, and q is a closed path and a summand of ω_2. Since ω is homogeneous of degree 1, the path $(e, q)(p, e')$ is in degree 1. By additivity of the degree, either (e, q) or (p, e') is in degree 1, say (p, e') without loss of generality. Then (e, q) is in degree 0. Denote by (a, e') the arrow in (p, e') which is in degree 1.

Let $\sigma = (1\ 2\ \cdots\ n_1) \in \mathfrak{S}_{n_1}$ be acting as usual on elements in $V_1^{\otimes n_1}$, that is,

$$\sigma^r(v_{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_1) = v_r \otimes v_{r-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_1 \otimes v_{n_1} \otimes v_{n_1-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{r+1}.$$

The path

$$\sigma^r(p, e') := (\sigma^r(p), e')$$

is in degree 1 for all $0 \leq r < n_1$, since it contains (a, e'). Thus, at any vertex (e_i, e') for which e_i is the start vertex of $\sigma^r(p)$ for some r, the path $(e_i, q)(\sigma^r(p), e')$ is in degree 1, therefore (e_i, q) is in degree 0. Now, by the same reasoning, for every vertex e_j in q, the path (p, e_j) is in degree 1.

Now consider a vertex $l \in \Gamma^2$ which is not in the path q. We claim that the path (p, l) is also in degree 1. We use the symbol $\sharp$ to denote two vertices connected by an arrow. Consider the following non-oriented path

$$(e, f_1)\sharp(e, f_2)\sharp \cdots \sharp(e, l),$$

where $f_1 \in \Gamma^2$ is a vertex in the path q. Such a path exists since the quiver is connected. Recall that the path $(p, f_1) : (e, f_1) \to (e, f_1)$ is in degree 1. The arrow between (e, f_1) and (e, f_2) is part of a closed cycle, call it (e, q'). This cycle must be in degree 0, as (p, f_1) is in degree 1. Thus, the cycle (p, f_2) must be in degree 1. Continuing in this fashion, we see that the path (p, l) is in degree 1.

Thus, any path of the form (p, ϵ'), where $\epsilon' \in \Gamma_2$, is in degree 1. By the same reasoning, any path of the form (ϵ, q), where $\epsilon \in \Gamma_1$ is in degree 0.

Now, consider another closed summand ρ of ω_1 starting at a vertex μ. The path $(\mu, q)(\rho, e')$ is in degree 1. Because (μ, q) is in degree 0, we have that (ρ, e') must be in degree 1. By the previous argument, this implies that any (ρ, ϵ') is in degree 1 for any vertex $\epsilon' \in \Gamma^2$. By a similar argument, we get that any (ϵ, ρ') is in degree 0 for any summand ρ' of ω_2 and vertex $\epsilon \in \Gamma^1$.

Therefore, for any $\epsilon \in \Gamma^1$, the superpotential (ϵ, ω_2) is in degree 0 and, for every $\epsilon' \in \Gamma^2$, the superpotential (ω_1, ϵ') is in degree 1.

It remains to show that if (a, e) is the arrow in (p, e) in degree 1, then (a, ϵ') is in degree 1 for any $\epsilon' \in \Gamma^2$. Say $a : i \to j$ in Γ^1. Consider as before a non-oriented path

$$(i, e)\sharp(i, f_1)\sharp \cdots \sharp(i, \epsilon')$$

and the parallel non-oriented path

$$(j, e)\sharp(j, f_1)\sharp \cdots \sharp(j, \epsilon').$$

Then, consider an arrow $b_1 \in \Pi_2$ between e and f_1, say, without loss of generality, that $b : e \to f_1$. Then by the commutativity relations on the tensor product, we have

$$(a, f_1)(i, b) = (j, b)(a, e).$$

Because $b \in \Pi_2$, the arrows (i, b) and (j, b) are in degree 0, using what we just showed. Moreover, (a, e) is in degree 1 by assumption. So (a, f_1) is in degree 1 by homogeneity of the relations. Now, consider $b_2 \in \Pi_2$ between f_1 and f_2, say $b_2 : f_1 \to f_2$. By the same reasoning,

$$(a, f_2)(i, b) = (j, b)(a, f_1),$$

so (a, f_2) is in degree 1. Continuing in this fashion, we get that (a, ϵ') is in degree 1. This proves that for any arrow $\alpha \in \Pi_1$, all arrows (α, ϵ') have the same degree, so their degree is induced from the grading on Π_1. Therefore, the degree 0 part of Π must be of the form $A_1 \otimes_k A_2$, where A_1 is $(n_1 - 1)$-representation infinite and A_2 is n_2-Calabi-Yau. □

5. Preprojective algebra structure on the skew-group algebra

The motivation of this section is to generalize the following theorem, described in [RVdB89] (see also [CBH98]), to finite subgroups of $SL(n, k)$.

Theorem 16 ([RVdB89])**.** *Let G be a finite subgroup of $SL(2, k)$. Then the skew-group algebra $k[x, y]\#G$ is Morita equivalent to $\Pi(Q_G)$, the preprojective algebra over the extended Coxeter-Dynkin quiver associated to G via the McKay correspondence.*

Throughout this section, let $R = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n] = k[V]$ be the polynomial ring in n variables and let G be a finite subgroup of $SL(n, k)$ acting on V. Recall the definition of the skew-group algebra $R\#G$:

$$R\#G = R \otimes_k kG$$

as kG-modules, and the multplication is given by

$$(v_1, g_1) \cdot (v_2, g_2) = (v_1 g_1(v_2), g_1 g_2).$$

Note that, as described for example in [BSW10], the skew-group algebra

$$R\#G \cong T_{kG}(V \otimes_k kG)/\langle M \otimes_k kG\rangle,$$

where M is the vector space of commutativity relations in $R = k[V]$, and the bimodule action of kG on $V \otimes_k kG$ is given by

$$g_1(v \otimes_k h)g_2 = (g_1 v \otimes g_1 h g_2).$$

We want to know for which finite subgroup G of $SL(n, k)$ is the algebra $R\#G$ Morita equivalent to a higher preprojective algebra. We show that if

G embeds into a product of special linear groups, then $R\#G$ does not have a structure of preprojective algebra.

Note that $R\#G$ is always n-Calabi-Yau, so the difficulty lies in finding a grading that gives $R\#G$ Gorenstein parameter 1. Again, this grading must have the property that the degree 0 part is finite-dimensional, as we want it to be $(n-1)$-representation-infinite. This grading cannot be induced by putting the variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ in R in some degree $a_1, \ldots, a_n$, respectively, and G in degree 0, since in this case the Gorenstein parameter would be $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_i$.

The algebra structure of $R\#G$ is related to the McKay quiver of G.

Definition 13 ([McK83])**.** Let G be a finite subgroup of $GL(n,k)$. The *McKay quiver* M_G of G has a vertex set corresponding to each irreducible representation ρ of G. Let V be the given representation of dimension n and S_ρ be the simple kG-module corresponding to ρ. The number of arrows $\rho_1 \to \rho_2$ in M_G is equal to

$$\dim_k(\mathrm{Hom}_{kG}(S_{\rho_2}, V \otimes_k S_{\rho_1})).$$

Theorem 17 (See, e.g., [GMV02])**.** *Let G be a finite subgroup of $GL(n,k)$, then $R\#G$ is Morita equivalent to $kM_G/\langle M\rangle$, where M_G is the McKay quiver of G and M is the kG-bimodule of relations induced from the commutativity relations in R.*

When G is abelian, the Morita equivalence is an isomorphism, since $R\#G$ is then basic. There is then an explicit correspondence between the arrows in M_G and the variables in R.

In this case, we have a description of the McKay quiver of G. The group is simultaneously diagonalizable so we can assume that all its elements are diagonal matrices. Let $\rho_i : G \to k^*$ be defined as $\rho_i(g) = \alpha_i$, where α_i is the i^{th} diagonal element of g, for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Then the McKay quiver of G is described as follows.

Theorem 18 ([CMT07])**.** *Let G be an abelian finite subgroup of $GL(n,k)$. The McKay quiver M_G of G has an arrow $x_i^\rho : \rho \to \rho\rho_i$ for each irreducible representation ρ and all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. We say that x_i^ρ is an* arrow of type x_i.

If G is a cyclic group of order r, then it is generated by a diagonal matrix with entries $\xi^{a_1}, \ldots, \xi^{a_n}$, where ξ is a primitive r^{th} root of unity. We denote this group by

$$G = \langle \frac{1}{r}(a_1, \ldots, a_n)\rangle.$$

5.1. **Tensor product of skew-group algebras.** We apply last section's result to the current context. First assume that G_i is a finite subgroup of $SL(n_i,k)$ and R_i is the polynomial ring in n_i variables, for $i = 1, 2$. Then we have the following KG-module isomorphisms:

$$R_1\#G_1 \otimes_k R_2\#G_2 \cong R_1 \otimes_k kG_1 \otimes_k R_2 \otimes_k kG_2$$
$$\cong (R_1 \otimes_k R_2) \otimes_k (kG_1 \otimes_k kG_2) \cong R \otimes_k k[G_1 \times G_2],$$

where R is the polynomial ring in $(n := n_1 + n_2)$ variables. The map is given by

$$(f(v), g_1) \otimes_k (g(w), g_2) \mapsto \left(f(v) \otimes_k g(w), \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

and it respects the tensor product multiplication, so this is an algebra isomorphism. Let $G = G_1 \times G_2$, where each element of G is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} g_1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

for $g_1 \in G_1$ and $g_2 \in G_2$. Then $G < SL(n_1, k) \times SL(n_2, k)$. The algebra $R\#G$ is Morita equivalent to

$$kM_{G_1}/\langle M_1 \rangle \otimes_k kM_{G_2}/\langle M_2 \rangle,$$

where M_{G_i} is the McKay quiver of G_i and M_i are the induced relations, $i = 1, 2$. Since Morita equivalence preserves the bimodule Calabi-Yau property, we obtain the following corollary to Theorem 15.

Corollary 19. *If $G < SL(n, k)$ is a finite group such that G is conjugate to $G_1 \times G_2$, where $G_i < SL(n_i, k)$, $i = 1, 2$, and $n_1 + n_2 = n$, then $R\#G$ does not have a grading structure making it Morita equivalent to a preprojective algebra.*

This corollary motivates the following definition.

Definition 14. Let $G < SL(n, k)$ be finite. We say that G *embeds* into $SL(n_1, k) \times SL(n_2, k)$, for some $n_1, n_2 \geq 1$ such that $n_1 + n_2 = n$, if G is conjugate to a group such that each of its element is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} g_1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $g_1 \in SL(n_1, k)$ and $g_2 \in SL(n_2, k)$. Equivalently, the given representation V of G can be written as

$$V \cong V_1 \oplus V_2,$$

where $\Lambda^{n_1} V_1$ and $\Lambda^{n_2} V_2$ are the trivial representations.

If G_1, G_2 and H are finite groups and $\phi_i : G_i \to H$ is an epimorphism for $i = 1, 2$, define the *fibre product* $G_1 \times_H G_2$ as

$$G_1 \times_H G_2 := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 \end{pmatrix} \mid g_1 \in G_1,\, g_2 \in G_2,\, \phi_1(g_1) = \phi_2(g_2) \right\}.$$

By Goursat's lemma, these are exactly the finite subgroups of $G_1 \times G_2$. Therefore, G embeds into $SL(n_1, k) \times SL(n_2, k)$ if and only if it is conjugate to a fibre product of this form.

Remark 3. The group G from Corollary 19 embeds into $SL(n_1, k) \times SL(n_2, k)$. In the next subsection, we generalize this result to any finite group having this property.

5.2. **A converse to a theorem of Amiot-Iyama-Reiten.** In their paper, C. Amiot, O. Iyama and I. Reiten proved the following:

Theorem 20 ([AIR15])**.** *Let G be a finite cyclic subgroup of $SL(n,k)$ of order r. Suppose that there exists a generator $g = \frac{1}{r}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ of G such that*

(1) $(a_i, r) = 1$ and $0 < a_i < r$ for all i;
(2) $a_1 + \cdots + a_n = r$.

Then there exists a grading on $R\#G$ giving it a higher preprojective algebra structure.

Remark 4. The first condition ensures that R^G is an isolated singularity. For our purpose, it is not necessary to assume this condition. Indeed, we will consider products of groups that will contain elements with eigenvalues equal to 1. If $0 \leq a_i < r$ for all i, then the second condition is automatically satisfied when $n = 2$ and $n = 3$.

Using the description of the McKay quiver of a cyclic group G, we can label the vertices from 1 to r. There is an arrow of type x_j from $l \to l + a_j$, where the sum is taken modulo r, for every vertex $l \in M_G$.

The grading mentioned in Theorem 20, that defines a preprojective algebra structure on $R\#G$, is given by the following:

$$\deg(x_j^l : l \to l + a_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \overline{l + a_j} < l \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_r \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Example 15. Let $G = \langle \frac{1}{5}(1,1,3) \rangle$. The McKay quiver of G is given by:



The algebra $R\#G$ is isomorphic to the path algebra over this quiver with the relations given by

$$\{x_{i'}^{j+a_i} \otimes x_i^j - x_i^{j+a_{i'}} \otimes x_{i'}^j\}.$$

Since G satisfies the conditions of Theorem 20, we get that $R\#G$ has a structure of preprojective algebra, where the degree 0 part is given by the following quiver.



Condition 2 in Theorem 20 is specific to cyclic groups. Thus, if we want to generalize this statement or to find a converse for any group (which is the purpose of this section), we need to reinterpret this condition.

With remark 3 in mind, we see that condition 2 follows from the fact that G does not embed into $SL(n_1, k) \times SL(n_2, k)$.

Lemma 21. *Let G be a finite cyclic subgroup of $SL(n, k)$ of order r. If the group G embeds into $SL(n_1, k) \times SL(n_2, k)$ for some $n_1, n_2 \geq 1$ such that $n_1 + n_2 = n$, then either one of the following is true.*

(a) There exists a generator $g = \frac{1}{r}(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ of G such that $a_i = 0$ for some i;

(b) Every generator $g = \frac{1}{r}(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ of G is such that $0 < a_i < r$ and the sum $a_1 + \cdots + a_n > r$.

The converse is true if $n \leq 4$ or G satisfies condition (a).

Proof. If G embeds into $SL(n_1, k) \times SL(n_2, k)$, then G clearly satisfies condition (a) or (b). Now, if (a) is true, then G embeds into $SL(n-1, k) \times SL(1, k)$. Finally, if $G < SL(n, k)$, where $n \leq 4$, satisfies condition (b), then the claim follows from [MS84]. □

Let R_i be the polynomial ring in n_i variables, for $i = 1, 2$. If G embeds into $SL(n_1, k) \times SL(n_2, k)$, then the algebra of invariants R^G is an isolated singularity if and only if G is conjugate to

$$G \times_G G < SL(n_1, k) \times SL(n_2, k),$$

where $G \times_G G$ is the diagonal embedding in $G \times G$, and R_1^G and R_2^G are isolated singularities. The McKay quiver of $G \times_G G$ is easy to describe. The vertices are given by the irreducible representations of G. Moreover, there is an arrow $\rho_1 \to \rho_2$ if and only if there is an arrow $\rho_1 \to \rho_2$ in M_G^i, for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$, where M_G^i is the McKay quiver of the i^{th} component in $G \times_G G$.

Example 16. Let

$$G = \langle \frac{1}{3}(1, 2, 1, 2) \rangle < SL(4, k).$$

Then

$$G \cong \langle \frac{1}{3}(1, 2) \rangle \times_G \langle \frac{1}{3}(1, 2) \rangle \hookrightarrow SL(2, k) \times SL(2, k).$$

Its McKay quiver is given by



And the relations are given by $x_i \otimes x_j - x_j \otimes x_i = 0$. Note that the superpotential is given by

$$\omega = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq 2} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_4} (-1)^\sigma \sigma(x_4 \otimes x_3 \otimes x_2 \otimes x_1^i),$$

where x_1^i is the arrow x_1 starting at vertex i, the other arrows in $x_4 \otimes x_3 \otimes x_2 \otimes x_1^i$ starting at the vertex where the concatenation is non-zero. The action of the symmetric group is given as usual.

Comparing with the McKay quiver of $\langle \frac{1}{3}(1,2) \rangle$, we see that there is a doubling of the arrows.



In light of Lemma 21, we prove a partial converse to the theorem of Amiot, Iyama and Reiten, which generalizes to any subgroup of $SL(n,k)$. Let $B := R\#G$. Throughout this section, we suppose that G embeds in $SL(n_1,k) \times SL(n_2,k)$, where $n_1 + n_2 = n$. Equivalently, G is conjugate to a fibre product $G_1 \times_H G_2$, where G_i is a finite subgroup of $SL(n_i,k)$ and H is some finite group such that G_i surjects onto it, for $i = 1, 2$.

Let's first describe the minimal B-bimodule resolution of B using the Koszul complex of R.

Theorem 22 ([BSW10], [AIR15], [HIO14])**.** *Let V be the given representation of G. The following complex is a minimal projective B-bimodule resolution of B:*

$$P_\bullet = B \otimes_{kG} \left(\bigwedge^n V \otimes_k kG \right) \otimes_{kG} B \to B \otimes_{kG} \left(\bigwedge^{n-1} V \otimes_k kG \right) \otimes_{kG} B$$

$$\to \cdots \to B \otimes_{kG} B.$$

Moreover, $P_\bullet \cong P_\bullet^\vee[n]$, where $(-)^\vee = Hom_{B^e}(-, B^e)$.

Proof. The following complex is the Koszul bimodule resolution of $R = k[V]$:

$$0 \to R \otimes_k \bigwedge^n V \otimes_k R \to \cdots \to R \otimes_k R \to R \to 0.$$

Now, tensoring over k with kG is an exact functor that gives us the required resolution. In fact, we have

$$R \otimes_k \bigwedge^l V \otimes_k R \otimes_k kG \cong R \otimes_k kG \otimes_{kG} \bigwedge^l V \otimes_k kG \otimes_{kG} R \otimes_k kG$$

$$\cong R\#G \otimes_{kG} \bigwedge^l V \otimes_k kG \otimes_{kG} R\#G.$$

To prove the second statement, the following pairing

$$\bigwedge^l V \times \bigwedge^{n-l} V \to k^* : (v_1, v_2) \mapsto a,$$

where a is such that $v_1 \wedge v_2 = a x_0 \wedge x_2 \wedge \ldots \wedge x_n$, induce a pairing

$$B \otimes_{kG} \bigwedge^l V \otimes_k kG \otimes_{kG} B \times B \otimes_{kG} \bigwedge^{n-l} V \otimes_k kG \otimes_{kG} B$$

$$\to B \otimes_{kG} B,$$

which gives the required property. □

The differentials are given by

$$\delta_l((v_1, g_1) \otimes (x_{j_l} \wedge x_{j_{l-1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{j_1} \otimes g) \otimes (v_2, g_2))$$

$$= \delta_l((v_1, 1) \otimes (g_1 x_{j_l} \wedge g_1 x_{j_{l-1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge g_1 x_{j_1} \otimes 1) \otimes (g_1 g v_2, g_1 g g_2))$$

$$:= \sum_{i=1}^{l} (-1)^{i-1} ((v_1 g_1 x_{j_i}, 1) \otimes (g_1 x_{j_l} \wedge \cdots \widehat{g_1 x_{j_i}} \cdots \wedge g_1 x_{j_1} \otimes 1) \otimes (g_1 g v_2, g_1 g g_2)$$

$$+ (v_1, 1) \otimes (g_1 x_{j_l} \wedge \cdots \widehat{g_1 x_{j_i}} \cdots \wedge g_1 x_{j_1} \otimes 1) \otimes (g_1 x_{j_i} g_1 g v_2, g_1 g g_2))$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{l} (-1)^{i-1} ((v_1 g_1 x_{j_i}, g_1) \otimes (x_{j_l} \wedge \cdots \widehat{x_{j_i}} \cdots \wedge x_{j_1} \otimes g) \otimes (v_2, g_2)$$

$$+ (v_1, g_1) \otimes (x_{j_l} \wedge \cdots \widehat{x_{j_i}} \cdots \wedge x_{j_1} \otimes g) \otimes (g^{-1} x_{j_i} v_2, g_2)).$$

Remark 5. There is a kG-bimodule isomorphism

$$\bigwedge^l V \otimes_k kG \cong \bigcap_{\mu} \left((V \otimes_k kG)^{\otimes \mu} \otimes_{kG} (M \otimes_k kG) \otimes_{kG} (V \otimes_k kG)^{\otimes l - \mu - 2} \right),$$

where the second module is our usual description of the terms in the Koszul resolution, given by

$$x_{j_l} \wedge x_{j_{l-1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{j_1} \otimes 1 \mapsto \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_l} (-1)^\sigma \sigma(x_{j_l} \otimes x_{j_{l-1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{j_1}) \otimes 1,$$

where the elements of the symmetric group act as usual. We use this identification to shorten the notations.

The B-bimodule generators of

$$P_l := B \otimes_{kG} \left(\bigwedge^l V \otimes_k kG \right) \otimes_{kG} B$$

are given by the elements $(1,1) \otimes (x_{j_l} \wedge x_{j_{l-1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_1 \otimes 1) \otimes (1,1)$. This implies that a superpotential in $R\#G$ is given by the S-bimodule generator

$$\omega \otimes 1 \subset \Lambda^n V \otimes_k kG = K_n,$$

where $\omega := x_n \wedge x_{n-1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_1$ is the superpotential giving the commutativity relations in R.

Theorem 23. *Let G be a finite subgroup of $SL(n,k)$. If $B := R\#G$ has a grading structure of n-preprojective algebra, then G does not embed in $SL(n_1,k) \times SL(n_2,k)$ for any $n_1, n_2 \geq 1$ such that $n_1 + n_2 = n$.*

Proof. Suppose that $G = G_1 \times_H G_2$, where $G_1 < SL(n_1,k)$ and $G_2 < SL(n_2,k)$. Then the given representation decomposes as $V \cong V_1 \oplus V_2$, where V_i is a n_i-dimensional vector space, $i = 1,2$. Assume by contradiction that G has a grading structure of preprojective algebra such that the degree 0 part is finite-dimensional.

By Lemma 13, the superpotential $\omega \otimes 1$ is a kG-bimodule generator of $\Lambda^n V \otimes_k kG$ in degree 1. It corresponds to elements of the same degree in B, by Lemma 14. Thus, for any idempotent e_l corresponding to the irreducible representations, the summand $(x_n \otimes x_{n-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_1) \otimes e_l$ is in degree 1. Now, using the fact that G embeds in $SL(n_1,k) \times SL(n_2,k)$, we get a decomposition

$$(x_n \otimes x_{n-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_1) \otimes e_l = (x_n \otimes x_{n-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n_2+1}) \otimes e_l \cdot (x_{n_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_1) \otimes e_l,$$

and each component commutes with the action of kG. By additivity of the degrees, one of these two components must be in degree 0, and the other must be in degree 1. Suppose without loss of generality that

$$(x_n \otimes x_{n-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n_2+1}) \otimes e_l$$

is in degree 0. Then,

$$\{1 \otimes e_l, (x_n \otimes x_{n-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n_2+1}) \otimes e_l, (x_n \otimes x_{n-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n_2+1})^2 \otimes e_l,$$

$$(x_n \otimes x_{n-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n_2+1})^3 \otimes e_l, \ldots\}$$

is an infinite set of linearly independent elements of degree 0 in B. Therefore, B does not have a structure of preprojective algebra. □

Remark 6. In the case where G is cyclic, we obtain a partial converse to Theorem 20, which is a full converse if $n = 4$.

Example 17. Let $G = \langle \frac{1}{3}(1,2,1,2) \rangle < SL(2,k) \times SL(2,k)$ be the group described in Example 16. The skew-group algebra $R\#G$ does not have a structure of preprojective algebra. As we showed, paths of length 4 in the superpotential go twice through the same vertex. However, since they must be in degree 1, there exists an oriented cycle in degree 0, which means that the degree 0 part is infinite-dimensional.

Now, we want to consider the basic algebra Morita equivalent to B. Let $e = \sum e_l$ be the sum of all idempotents in kG associated to the irreducible representations of G. If

$$R\#G = T_{kG}(V \otimes_k kG)/\langle M \otimes_k kG\rangle,$$

then $R\#G$ is Morita equivalent to

$$eR\#Ge \cong T_{ekGe}(eV \otimes_k kGe)/\langle eM \otimes_k kGe\rangle,$$

see for example [BSW10] for a proof. In the case where G is abelian, this Morita equivalence is an isomorphism. The superpotential is given by

$$e(\omega \otimes_k 1)e.$$

Theorem 24. *At each vertex e_j in M_G, there exists a closed summand p in $e\omega \otimes_k 1e$ of length n that goes twice through the vertex e_j.*

Proof. Let S_j be the simple kG-module associated to e_j. Note that, because G embeds in $SL(n_1, k) \times SL(n_2, k)$, the module

$$\Lambda^{n_i} V \otimes_k S_j$$

contains S_j as a direct summand for $i = 1, 2$. Now, each path $p : t(p) \to h(p)$ of length l corresponds to a map

$$S_{t(p)} \to V^{\otimes_S l} \otimes_k S_{h(p)} \to \Lambda^l V \otimes_k S_{h(p)}.$$

Since there is an obvious map $S_j \to S_j \subset \Lambda^{n_i} V \otimes_k S_j$, we get a closed path p_i of length n_i at S_j for $i = 1, 2$. Concatenating these two paths, we get the required path $p = p_1 \otimes p_2$ of length n that goes twice through the vertex e_j. This corresponds to a summand of the superpotential, since it corresponds to an element in $\Lambda^n V \otimes_k kG$. □

Suppose that $eR\#Ge$ has a structure of preprojective algebra. These closed paths are summands of the superpotential in $eR\#Ge$, and thus, by the same reasoning as in the previous subsection, they must be in degree 1. By additivity of the grading on concatenation, we have a closed oriented path in degree 0, the other being in degree 1. However, we cannot directly apply the same idea as in the previous theorem, for some of the powers of the path in degree 0 could be equal to 0 in the algebra $eR\#Ge$.

Remark 7. In ([HIO14], Question 5.9), the authors conjecture that the quiver of a $(n-1)$-hereditary algebra must be acyclic. Thus, if we assume this conjecture to be true, the previous discussion already demonstrates that if G embeds in a product of special linear groups, then $B := R\#G$ is not Morita equivalent to a preprojective algebra.

Lemma 25. *If Λ is a $(n-1)$-representation-infinite algebra, and e is a full idempotent in Λ, that is, $\Lambda e \Lambda = \Lambda$, then*

$$eExt^{n-1}_{\Lambda^e}(\Lambda, \Lambda^e)e \cong Ext^{n-1}_{e\Lambda^e e}(e\Lambda e, e\Lambda^e e).$$

Moreover, if $M_d(-)$ denotes the algebra of $d \times d$ matrices, then

$$M_d(Ext^{n-1}_{\Lambda^e}(\Lambda, \Lambda^e)) \cong Ext^{n-1}_{M_d(\Lambda^e)}(M_d(\Lambda), M_d(\Lambda^e)).$$

Proof. Consider the minimal resolution of Λ:

$$0 \to \bigoplus_{\substack{p:i\to j \\ p\in K_n}} \Lambda e_j \otimes_k e_i\Lambda \xrightarrow{f} \bigoplus_{\substack{p:i\to j \\ p\in K_{n-1}}} \Lambda e_j \otimes_k e_i\Lambda \to \cdots \to \Lambda \otimes_S \Lambda \to \Lambda \to 0.$$

Then, as we proved in Theorem 5,

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{n-1}_{\Lambda^e}(\Lambda, \Lambda^e) \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{p:i\to j \\ p\in K_n}} \Lambda e_i \otimes_k e_j\Lambda/\mathrm{Im}\ \tilde{f},$$

where $\tilde{f} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda^e}(f, \Lambda^e)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} e\mathrm{Ext}^{n-1}_{\Lambda^e}(\Lambda, \Lambda^e)e &\cong \bigoplus_{\substack{p:i\to j \\ p\in K_n}} e\Lambda e e_i \otimes_k e_j e\Lambda e/e\mathrm{Im}\ \tilde{f}e \\ &\cong \mathrm{Ext}^{n-1}_{e\Lambda^e e}(e\Lambda e, e\Lambda^e e). \end{aligned}$$

Now idempotents in $M_d(\mathrm{Ext}^{n-1}_{\Lambda^e}(\Lambda, \Lambda^e))$ induce idempotents in $\mathrm{Ext}^{n-1}_{\Lambda^e}(\Lambda, \Lambda^e)$, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Ext}^{n-1}_{M_d(\Lambda^e)}(M_d(\Lambda), M_d(\Lambda^e)) \\ &\cong M_d(f_{11}\mathrm{Ext}^{n-1}_{M_d(\Lambda^e)}(M_d(\Lambda), M_d(\Lambda^e))f_{11}), \end{aligned}$$

where f_{11} is the idempotent corresponding to the entry $(1,1)$ in the matrix algebra. Applying the first part of this proof again, the algebra is isomorphic to

$$M_d(\mathrm{Ext}^{n-1}_{\Lambda^e}(\Lambda, \Lambda^e)).$$

□

We now show that $eR\#Ge$ does not have a structure of preprojective algebra.

Theorem 26. *Let G be a finite subgroup of $SL(n,k)$ such that G embeds in $SL(n_1,k)\times SL(n_2,k)$. Let $e = \sum e_l$ be the sum of all idempotents associated to the irreducible representations of G in $B := R\#G$. Then eBe does not have a grading structure of preprojective algebra.*

Proof. Suppose that

$$eBe \cong T_\Lambda \mathrm{Ext}^{n-1}_{\Lambda^e}(\Lambda, \Lambda^e),$$

where Λ is a $(n-1)$-representation-infinite algebra. Then

$$B \cong fM_d(T_\Lambda \mathrm{Ext}^{n-1}_{\Lambda^e}(\Lambda, \Lambda^e))f,$$

for some d, where $M_d(-)$ denotes the algebra of $d\times d$ matrices and f is a full idempotent in $M_d(T_\Lambda \mathrm{Ext}^{n-1}_{\Lambda^e}(\Lambda, \Lambda^e))$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the equivalence of categories

$$\mathcal{F} : \mathrm{Bimod}\ eBe \to \mathrm{Bimod}\ fM_d(eBe)f.$$

Since $M_d(-)$ commute with direct sums and tensor products and f is also a full idempotent in

$$M_d(\Lambda) \subset M_d(T_\Lambda \mathrm{Ext}^{n-1}_{\Lambda^e}(\Lambda, \Lambda^e)),$$

we obtain

$$\mathcal{F}(M \otimes_\Lambda N) \cong \mathcal{F}(M) \otimes_{fM_d(\Lambda)f} \mathcal{F}(N),$$

and the same is true for direct sums. Thus,

$$B \cong T_{fM_d(\Lambda)f} fM_d(\mathrm{Ext}^{n-1}_{\Lambda^e}(\Lambda, \Lambda^e))f.$$

By Lemma 25, we obtain that

$$B \cong T_{fM_d(\Lambda)f} \mathrm{Ext}^{n-1}_{fM_d(\Lambda^e)f}(fM_d(\Lambda)f, fM_d(\Lambda^e)f),$$

so B is itself n-Calabi-Yau of Gorenstein parameter 1. Therefore, by Theorem 23, we get that $fM_d(\Lambda)f$ is infinite-dimensional. This implies that Λ is infinite-dimensional, which is a contradiction. □

References

[AIR15] Claire Amiot, Osamu Iyama, and Idun Reiten. Stable categories of Cohen-Macaulay modules and cluster categories. *Amer. J. Math.*, 137(3):813–857, 2015.

[Ami11] Claire Amiot. On generalized cluster categories. In *Representations of algebras and related topics*, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., pages 1–53. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2011.

[Ami13] Claire Amiot. Preprojective algebras, singularity categories and orthogonal decompositions. In *Algebras, quivers and representations*, volume 8 of *Abel Symp.*, pages 1–11. Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.

[ASS06] I. Assem, A. Skowronski, and D. Simson. *Elements of the Representation Theory of Associative Algebras: Volume 1: Techniques of Representation Theory*. London Mathematical Society Student Texts. Cambridge University Press, 2006.

[BGL87] Dagmar Baer, Werner Geigle, and Helmut Lenzing. The preprojective algebra of a tame hereditary Artin algebra. *Comm. Algebra*, 15(1-2):425–457, 1987.

[BGS96] Alexander Beilinson, Victor Ginzburg, and Wolfgang Soergel. Koszul duality patterns in representation theory. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 9(2):473–527, 1996.

[BSW10] Raf Bocklandt, Travis Schedler, and Michael Wemyss. Superpotentials and higher order derivations. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 214(9):1501–1522, 2010.

[CB99] William Crawley-Boevey. Preprojective algebras, differential operators and a Conze embedding for deformations of Kleinian singularities. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 74(4):548–574, 1999.

[CBH98] William Crawley-Boevey and Martin P. Holland. Noncommutative deformations of Kleinian singularities. *Duke Math. J.*, 92(3):605–635, 1998.

[CMT07] Alastair Craw, Diane Maclagan, and Rekha R. Thomas. Moduli of McKay quiver representations. II. Gröbner basis techniques. *J. Algebra*, 316(2):514–535, 2007.

[Gin06] Victor Ginzburg. Calabi-Yau algebras. 2006, arXiv:math/0612139.

[GMV98] Edward L. Green and Roberto Martínez-Villa. Koszul and Yoneda algebras. II. In *Algebras and modules, II (Geiranger, 1996)*, volume 24 of *CMS Conf. Proc.*, pages 227–244. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.

[GMV02] Jin Yun Guo and Roberto Martínez-Villa. Algebra pairs associated to McKay quivers. *Comm. Algebra*, 30(2):1017–1032, 2002.

[GP79] I. M. Gel′fand and V. A. Ponomarev. Model algebras and representations of graphs. *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.*, 13(3):1–12, 1979.

[Hap89] Dieter Happel. Hochschild cohomology of finite-dimensional algebras. In *Séminaire d'Algèbre Paul Dubreil et Marie-Paul Malliavin, 39ème Année (Paris, 1987/1988)*, volume 1404 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 108–126. Springer, Berlin, 1989.

[HIO14] Martin Herschend, Osamu Iyama, and Steffen Oppermann. n-representation infinite algebras. *Adv. Math.*, 252:292–342, 2014.

[Kel11] Bernhard Keller. Deformed Calabi-Yau completions. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 654:125–180, 2011. With an appendix by Michel Van den Bergh.

[McK83] J. McKay. Graphs, singularities and finite groups. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, 38(3(231)):159–162, 1983. Translated from the English by S. A. Syskin.

[MM11] Hiroyuki Minamoto and Izuru Mori. The structure of AS-Gorenstein algebras. *Adv. Math.*, 226(5):4061–4095, 2011.

[MS84] David R. Morrison and Glenn Stevens. Terminal quotient singularities in dimensions three and four. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 90(1):15–20, 1984.

[Rin98] Claus Michael Ringel. The preprojective algebra of a quiver. In *Algebras and modules, II (Geiranger, 1996)*, volume 24 of *CMS Conf. Proc.*, pages 467–480. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.

[RVdB89] Idun Reiten and Michel Van den Bergh. Two-dimensional tame and maximal orders of finite representation type. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 80(408):viii+72, 1989.

[Wei94] Charles A. Weibel. *An introduction to homological algebra*, volume 38 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5S 2E4

E-mail address: lp.thibault@mail.utoronto.ca